

The Martial Arts and Buddhist Philosophy*

GRAHAM PRIEST[†]

EAST ASIAN MARTIAL ARTS

MY topic concerns the martial arts or at least the East Asian martial arts, such as karatedo, taekwondo, kendo, wushu. To what extent what I have to say applies to other martial arts, such as boxing, silat, capoeira, I leave as an open question. I will illustrate much of what I have to say with reference to karatedo, since that is the art with which I am most familiar¹; but I am sure that matters are much the same with other East Asian martial arts.

Karatedo is a style of martial art that developed in Okinawa. It evolved from a fusion of a local martial art (te) with empty hands and farm implements as weapons, and wushu techniques imported from the Chinese mainland. (A number of historically significant karate masters either came from China, or trained there.) It migrated to the Japanese mainland at the start of the 20th century, and thence, because of increasing Western involvement with Japan post Second World War, to the West.²

Karatedo, and the East Asian martial arts in general, teach many things. But one thing they undeniably are is a training how to be violent to others. Karate jutsu (techniques) are designed to stop an attacker in various ways, varying from restraint and temporary disablement, to maiming, and even killing. The training in the dojo (training-place) is both physical and mental. The physical training concerns learning the techniques and how to apply them (though obviously a number of them have to be practiced in a restrained way with training partners). The mental training is in the psychological discipline necessary to apply the techniques effectively, should this ever be required. It is worth noting that many East Asian martial arts, karate included, have evolved sports forms in the last 100 years. These involve competition governed by strict rules. Thus, in sporting fights, only a limited number of techniques are permissible: those which can maim or kill an opponent

*The article was originally published in O'Hear, Anthony (2013): *Philosophy and Sport*. Royal Institute of Philosophy Supplement, Volume 73, pp. 17-28. It was further reprinted as chapter 11 of Priest, Graham & Young, Damon (Editors) (2014): *Philosophy and the Martial Arts: Engagement*. Abingdon or London and New York: Routledge, pp. 192-201. The republishing is permitted by the author and the editors of *Philosophy*/Royal Institute of Philosophy. It is based on a script send in by the author and takes both publications from 2013 and 2014 into account. Further, this reprint-publication here is rearranged and slightly adapted/corrected (with regard to the description of the author or references e.g.).

[†]As he wrote the paper, Graham Priest was Professor at the Departments of Philosophy, Universities of Melbourne, St Andrews, and the Graduate Center, City University of New York. Graham Priest is a Professional philosopher, who has held chairs in Australia, the US, and the UK, and taught in many other countries in Europe and Asia Today he is Professor emeritus, swims and practices Taijiquan. He holds also a third dan in Shobukai and holds a fourth dan in Shitoryu (Information: <http://grahampriest.net/>).

¹A brief autobiographical note: I have been practicing karatedo now for well over 20 years. I am 4th dan in Shitoryu (Yoshukan) and a 3rd dan in Karatedo Shobukai. I am also an Australian national kumite referee and kata judge. I have trained for substantial periods of time at dojo in Australia, Japan, the US, and the UK (the dojo in the last two countries being with styles other than my own).

²Karate has traditionally been passed on by direct transmission of practice. There are hardly any written records before the 20th century. Good (objective and reliable) histories of karate are therefore hard to find. Bishop (1999) is one of the most authoritative I know.

are not allowed. Unlike real fighting, people must play by rules; and the point becomes just to win a medal. Many clubs now concentrate on this kind of practice; in the process, essential parts of traditional karate are often lost. Though I do not want to denigrate sports karate (its alright in its place), it is clearly a deviation from the tradition of the art; and it is the traditional art of which I shall be speaking.³

TWO PUZZLES

This is sufficient background for me to explain two puzzles which frame the rest of this essay:

Puzzle Number 1

There is undeniably a close connection between the East Asian martial arts and Buddhism especially Zen Buddhism. Legend has it that the first patriarch of Zen Buddhism was Bodhidharma, an Indian missionary who took up residence at the Shaolin Temple. Legend has it that the same Bodhidharma was the founder of the Shaolin wushu. Whatever the history, the Shaolin Temple is famous for producing Zen Buddhist monks who are also wushu practitioners. The connection goes far beyond this, though. Many samurai, such as the legendary Musashi Miamoto (who also practiced Zen calligraphy), were Buddhists, and saw their Buddhism and their martial practice as deeply connected⁴. The Zen Buddhist Monk Takuan Sh is well known for having written letters to martial practitioners giving them Zen advic⁵. Indeed, in traditional dojo, training sessions begin and end with short zazen (kneeling meditation) sessions. The Buddhist connection is also evident in popular martial arts books⁶, and Buddhist ideas are evident in the thought of many great karate masters⁷. Now, this connection is certainly puzzling⁸. Buddhism is a religion and philosophy that is strongly anti-violence. Indeed, it has a number of Precepts (codes of conduct), the first of which expresses the principle of *ahims*, nonviolence⁹. The major rationale of Buddhism is the elimination of dukkha a Sanskrit word whose meaning is hard to translate, though it certainly includes physical suffering. Clearly, the cause of a good deal of physical suffering is violence. How can those on a Buddhist path train to inflict violence on others, or give advice to others about effective ways of doing so?¹⁰

Puzzle Number 2

People trained in these martial arts are frequently more peaceable than ordinary people. Indeed, it is not uncommon for people who take up such an art in order to learn how to fight to lose

³Some styles of karate allow full-contact competition, which is obviously more realistic than non-contact forms. But even in these, certain techniques are forbidden as too dangerous. Sporting competition was never a part of traditional karate.

⁴See King (1993). On Musashi specifically, see the last chapter of his *Book of Five Rings* (Cleary (1993)), *The Book of Emptiness*.

⁵See Cleary (2005).

⁶Such as Hyams (1982).

⁷See, e.g., Funakoshi (2003).

⁸Historically, there are many political connections between Buddhist institutions and state power, including military power (For a survey, see Harvey (2000), pp. 264-70.). The dynamics of power-structures makes this anything but puzzling.

⁹See, e.g., Harvey (2000), p. 69.

¹⁰It is clear why martial practitioners might want to receive advice concerning certain Buddhist mental practices. These can have effects that improve fighting, as we will note in due course. However, that hardly explains why a Buddhist should want to give such advice.

the desire to do so. It is hard to get documentary evidence of this¹¹; but it is folklore, and certainly gels with my experience. And legend has it of many great karate masters that they refused to fight, even when they knew they would win easily¹². Again, this is odd. Training to climb mountains makes you *more* likely to climb mountains. Training to speak a foreign language makes you more likely to speak the language. How is it that training in how to be violent makes you less likely to be violent?

A caveat here. Not all training in an East Asian martial arts produces peaceful people. Some martial arts clubs are quite prepared, for example, to turn out bouncers who are only too happy to apply their martial skills on unsuspecting victims. A more guarded way of putting my point is, therefore, that there is something about certain kinds of martial training that can have this effect on people. What and why?

Doubtless, answers to both of these questions are complex and multi-faceted. Thus, one reason, one might suppose, that Buddhists train in a martial art is for self-defence. Buddhism *per se* is not against this. And one reason that a training in violence might make you less violent is that violence and aggression are often driven by gut-reaction fear. If you know that you have the ability to defend yourself, fear may well be reduced, or at least controlled.

But these can hardly constitute sufficient answers. To learn to defend yourself, it is hardly necessary to practice hours a week, year after year long beyond the point where any casual attacker is a serious threat. A short self-defence course with a readily available weapon such as a stick (or, in modern terms, a can of mace), is probably just as good at this. And though decreasing ones fear may make one less aggressive, this hardly explains why trained martial artists should not be violent just for the love of it (in the way that people play the piano just for the love of it). There must be more going on than these things.

In what follows, I want to suggest a more profound explanation: that a training in the martial arts of the appropriate kind can itself be a way of treading the Buddhist path¹³. In what follows, I will explain some of the basics of this path. I will then explain how a martial training can implement at least certain important aspects of it. I will end by returning to our two puzzles.

BASIC BUDDHISM

There are many forms of Buddhism, just as there are many forms of Christianity. And there are significant differences – metaphysical, ethical, and practical – between the different forms. Thus the metaphysics of emptiness (*nyat*), to be found in all the Mahayana Buddhisms, is quite different from the reductionism of the earlier Abhidharma traditions. The central importance of the virtue of compassion, again in Mahayana Buddhisms, is quite different from the stress on self-enlightenment of the pre-Mahayana forms. And the tantric practices of Tibetan Buddhisms are a million miles away from the *kan* practice of Rinzai Zen Buddhism¹⁴.

There are, however, some general ideas common to all forms of Buddhism. These are based on the direct pronouncements of the historical Buddha (Siddhartha Gautama, c. 6th century BCE) and his first teachings, known as the Four Noble Truths. These generic considerations will be sufficient for our purpose¹⁵. I emphasize that I am not here concerned to defend the views – though I do assume (and I think, justifiably) that Buddhist practices have a certain causal efficacy. My aim is

¹¹Some can be found in Layton (1988), (1991), Nosanchuck (1981), Nosanchuck and MacNeil (1989), and Rothpearl (1980).

¹²See, e.g., the anecdote in Hyams (1982), pp. 131-3.

¹³For a light-hearted expression of the idea, see Priest (2010).

¹⁴On the variety of Buddhisms, see Mitchell (2002).

¹⁵On the following, see, e.g., Siderits (2007), chs. 2, 3.

simply to explain the ideas, so that I can make the relevant points about martial arts practices. The Buddhas teachings present a picture of what one might call the human condition, and how to ameliorate it. All people get ill, age (if they are lucky enough), may lose limbs, loved ones; many suffer at the hands of tsunamis, nuclear disasters, and other acts of god; they are maimed or traumatized by war. And so the catalogue of unhappinesses goes on. Of course, people do derive pleasure from many things in life; but the natural consequence of this is that they are not content with such impermanent pleasures, and desire more generating more unhappiness. As Hume observed, then, if the world is designed by a god, human happiness was not his purpose¹⁶. There is, according to Buddhism, something that can be done about this situation, however. The first thing is to realise that, though we cannot really control what fate brings our way, we can control our *attitude* to it. When bad things happen, we get upset because we *make* ourselves so. It is the mental clinging and attachment, that we bring to events, which generate the unhappiness. Moreover, the world we live in is one of impermanence. Nothing lasts forever; all things will eventually pass. Once one realises this, the folly of clinging becomes patent. A particularly pernicious case of attachment concerns the self. A person is like a car, whose parts come together at a certain time, interact, wear out sometimes and are replaced, and finally fall apart. Our parts are not mechanical or electronic in the same way as those of a car: they are psycho-biological; but the point remains the same. Indeed, just as, in reality, there is nothing more to the car than the sum of its parts, there is nothing more to a person than the sum of their parts. There is no essential self or soul which holds them all together¹⁷. We certainly have the illusion that there is, though. We all think that there is an essential me-ness, something which is present throughout my existence, which defines me as me. The attachment to this non-existent object is an especially strong source of grief.

THE NOBLE EIGHTFOLD PATH

AN upshot of all this is that it makes sense to get rid of the attitude of attachment, which is the one source of unhappiness that is largely under our control. If one can do this, then one will be left with a resulting peace of mind, whatever slings and arrows of outrageous fortune arrive¹⁸. Getting your head around the kind of world you live in and the kind of thing you are (not), certainly helps. But simply knowing the facts is not enough. One has to break ingrained and powerful psychological habits. Illusions can be hard to shake off, even when one knows that they are illusions.

To this end, the Buddha suggested a number of fields of attention that, when suitably pursued, can help. This is the fourth of the Noble Truths, itself called the Noble Eightfold Path¹⁹. The eight fields are usually broken up into three groups.

1. Cognitive: *right view; right intention*. Having the right view is, as I have said, a good start. But just as important is the determination to dig oneself out of the hole one is in. Without that, nothing will happen²⁰.

¹⁶See his Dialogues Concerning Natural Religion, Part X.

¹⁷Which is not to say that the person does not exist, any more than that the car does not exist though some Buddhist schools, notably the early Abhidharma schools, do endorse the thought that a partite object does not have the same reality as its ultimate parts.

¹⁸One can find views similar to this in various Hellenistic philosophies, such as Stoicism and Epicureanism. See, e.g., Irwin (1989), chs. 8, 9.

¹⁹See, e.g., Koller (2002), ch. 12, or Mitchell (2002), ch. 2.

²⁰Note that determination is not the same as attachment. The former is a resolute decision to act in a certain way. The latter is about the attitude one has to the results of our actions when things go right or wrong.

2. Ethical: *right speech, right action, right livelihood*. Cutting this cake into three is, to a certain extent, artificial. The point is that one should treat others appropriately. Don't lie, steal, kill, or do other things that are wont to inflict suffering on others. No doubt an important part of the idea here is that if one does not like to suffer at the hands of others, one should not inflict suffering on them. But more important is the fact that the practice of putting the interests of others before one's own is an important step in ridding oneself of the self-centred grasping which destroys peace of mind.
3. Attitudinal: *right effort, right mindfulness, right concentration*. Right effort is the realisation of the right intention. Akrasia is all too easy, and self-discipline is required. Right mindfulness is the ability to be aware of what one's mind and body are doing. How are you going to be able to control your mind if you are not aware of what it is up to? The same goes for your body. Not that these two things are unconnected. Mind and body interact. How can you expect your mind to work at its best if you abuse your body smoking heavily, over-eating, or living in inebriation? Conversely, how can you expect your body to work properly if your mind is a mess? The Latins who popularised the slogan *mens sana in corpore sano* knew what they were doing. Finally, right concentration is the ability of mental focus. Right mindfulness is not going to be achieved if one's mind is all over the place.

Of course, how best to implement these steps is not entirely obvious. (And that is where many of the variations in Buddhist practices come in.) But all forms of Buddhism agree that some kinds of meditative practices are important. There are various kinds of such practice. This isn't the place to go into the variety²¹; suffice it here to note that mental focus, mindfulness and concentration are central to all of them.

MARTIAL TRAINING

WE are now in a position to see how a martial training can be taken to implement some of these Buddhist ideas²².

Start with the Noble Eightfold Path. There is little in a martial training which addresses the cognitive aspects of this. I have never trained at a dojo where any Buddhist (or other) philosophical ideas about impermanence, the self, attachment, and so on, were discussed²³. But this is perhaps the least important aspect of the Path. In most Mahayana Buddhisms, the fundamental truth about reality is ineffable, a simple *tathat* (thatness)²⁴; any description of how things are, is ultimately inadequate. Buddhist doctrines may be helpful en route, but they are themselves just as inadequate in the last instance. They are just a skilful means (*upaya*), to be discarded when they have done their job. Indeed, in Zen, great stress is placed on the fact that enlightenment can be arrived at only by direct wordless transmission²⁵. Actions speak louder than words. (And there is certainly plenty of action in a dojo!)

The connections between a martial training and the other parts of the Path are more evident. Take ethics, to start with. Again, this is rarely discussed in a dojo, but a good training embeds in people crucial ethical values. One bows with respect on entering and leaving a dojo, at the beginning and the end of a *kata* performance, and of training with a partner. One has respect for one's teacher and

²¹See, e.g., Leaman (1999), p. 200ff.

²²For a number of interesting observations relevant to the following discussion, see Bäck and Kim (1979).

²³Though of course there may be some Buddhist practitioners who also teach a martial art, and who talk their students about Buddhist ideas. Thus, see Furuya (1996).

²⁴See, e.g., Keown (2003), p. 296.

²⁵See, e.g., Welter (2000).

the senior students from whom one learns²⁶. One has concern for the well-being of ones training partners and those whom one teaches. Anger, ill will, and lack of self-control are not tolerated. And one learns respect for oneself as well. Nor is this something that stops when one leaves the dojo: one takes it out of the dojo too. In the dojo, one learns how to interact with other people, not just with other karateka. And one thing that goes with this respect is a determination not to use violence unless absolutely necessary. In karate, all kata begin with a defensive movement. This is often taken to be symbolic of the fact that karate techniques should only ever be used in self-defence. There is a traditional saying: *karate ni sente nashi* (in karate there is no first strike)²⁷. Effort and self-discipline are also required and developed in a martial training. For a start, these are required in exercises that build up strength and stamina. Training routines also have to be repeated many times until them become reflexive. One has to discipline oneself to do what one is told immediately and without reservation. And one learns the self-discipline of patience. For many things (such as the results of grading exams) one just has to wait. One aspect of self-discipline is particularly germane in the present context. In the dojo, things will often happen that you dont like: you get hit in sparring, you make a mistake in a *kata* when everyone is watching, you fail a grading exam. You have to learn to shrug this off, put it behind you to just carry on and focus on what comes next.

For the final two parts of the Path, one has to know something about *kata*. A major part of a martial training is the learning of sequences of movements, *kata*. These can be long or short, fast or slow, but are repeated over and over again until one does them without thinking. Developing a *kata* can be done only with great mindfulness of ones body, the position of ones limbs, the angle and speed of movements. Moreover, a *kata* should be performed with complete focus and concentration. When one performs a move of the *kata*, that and only that is where ones being is. In the context of Buddhist meditation practices, this would be called one-pointedness (*citta-ekagrat*)²⁸. I think, in fact, that it is not fanciful to see *kata* performance as a kind of moving meditation. (In Buddhist traditions, meditation does not have to be done sitting or kneeling: it can be done walking, for example²⁹).

KUMITE

Another central part of a martial training is *kumite*. This is fighting with a training partner to develop ones skills in practice. *Kumite* can be of many kinds, from simple prearranged exercises, to free sparring, where both people can attack or defend in any way they like (though always with control). For many people, this is the hardest part of the training. Being attacked by someone (often someone who is better than you), naturally brings out fear, and aggression naturally comes in its wake. One must learn to conquer these if only because fear and aggression make one much less efficient in performing: they slow down ones reactions, and make ones techniques wild and inefficient. Its an old adage, but a true one, that ones true opponent in this situation is not ones attacker but oneself: ones own mental dispositions, which need to be controlled.

What one must learn to do is to empty the mind of all thoughts, emotions, and react purely spontaneously (a spontaneity based, of course, on routines hard wired in by constant repetition).

²⁶On the importance of respect in the martial arts, see Young (2009) and, for a more light-hearted account, Young (2010).

²⁷Funakoshi (2003), p. 23 ff. On the theme of violence, Buddhism, and the martial arts, see Mortensen (2010).

²⁸See Keown (2003), p. 62.

²⁹See, e.g., Hanh (1996). Indeed, some Zen Buddhist masters, such as Hakuin, held kneeling meditation to be somewhat useless. See Kasulis (1981), p. 111.

This is what is called *mushin* (no mind) in Japanese³⁰. Naturally, one thing that goes in the process is any sense of self. Getting rid of the sense of self is, as I noted, one of the most important things in Buddhism. Perhaps for evolutionary reasons, in a situation where one has to fight, one's sense of self is particularly strong. If it can be overcome in this particularly stressful situation, it ought to be much easier to overcome it in more mundane situations.

A final word on *kiai*. This is a shout used at various times in both *kumite* and *kata*. The point is to increase the effectiveness of one's action. It does this focusing by mind and body into a single undiluted present. Shouts may be used in the Zen tradition for exactly the same reason³¹.

THE PUZZLES REVISITED

There are probably other important connections between a martial training and Buddhism that I have missed. But I hope that I have said enough, anyway, to demonstrate that an appropriate martial training can be a way of implementing a Buddhist path, even though one may not be consciously aware of this, or even if one has never even heard of Buddhism.

Let me end my returning to the two puzzles with which I started. Why has Buddhism often been connected with a martial training? That should now be obvious. It is one way of following the path. It is no accident that most martial arts are called *dō*: ways. They are not simply learning to fight, but training in a path of much greater import. (Of course, I am not suggesting that a training in a martial art is the only way to follow the path, or even the best way. It seems entirely plausible that different ways are appropriate for different people.)

And why should those who undergo this kind of training become more peaceful people? If I am right, the training is effective in inculcating those attitudes important to Buddhism - in particular, in freeing oneself from attitudes that result in mental disease, and allowing one to be in a state of inner peace. Greater inner peace leads naturally to greater outer peace. Those with inner peace have less desire to be aggressive or violent to others (or themselves). I am not suggesting that all this is a conscious matter. Many things we do have an effect on our mental states in ways of which we are unaware. Nor am I suggesting that accomplished martial practitioners are Buddhist saints. That would be absurd. They usually have the same human failings as anyone else. But as Aristotle observed, it is in our practices that we develop the sort of person we are³². This is certainly true of religious practices, such as those of Buddhism, and, it might now appear, of an appropriate martial training³³.

REFERENCES

Bishop, Mark (1999): *Okinawan Karate*. Boston: Tuttle Publishing, revised edition.

Cleary, Thomas (Translator) (1993): *The Book of Five Rings*. Boston: Shambhala.

Cleary, Thomas (Translator) (2005): *Soul of the Samurai*. North Clarendon: Tuttle Publications.

³⁰As described by Takuan Sh in his letters of advice. See the translations in Cleary (2005). For a light-hearted commentary, see Finnegan and Tanaka (2010). A more extensive discussion of *mushin* can be found in Herrigel (1981). The similarity between Zen and the martial arts, in that both require unmediated response, rather than premeditated action, is noted by Kalulis (1981), p. 121.

³¹See Kasulis (1981), p. 122.

³²*Nicomachean Ethics*, Book 2, Ch. 1.

³³A version of this paper was given under the title *Karatēdo and Buddhism*, at the Royal Institute of Philosophy, London, October 2011, in their series of lectures on Philosophy and Sport. I am grateful to the audience there for their helpful comments. I am grateful, also, to two anonymous referees for their comments, and, especially, to Damon Young for his.

- Finnegan, Bronwyn & Tanaka, Koji (2010): Dont Think! Just Act! In: Priest, Graham & Young, Damon (Editors): Martial Arts and Philosophy: Beating and Nothingness. Chicago: Open Court, pp. 25 – 33.
- Funakoshi, Gichin (2003): The Twenty Guiding Principles of Karate. Tokyo: Kodansha International Ltd.
- Furuya, Kensho (1996): Kodo: Ancient Ways: Lessons in the Spiritual Ways of the Warrior/Martial Artist. Santa Clarita: Ohara Publications.
- Hanh, Thích Nhất (1996): The Long Road Turns to Joy: A Guide to Walking Meditation. Berkeley: Parallax Press.
- Harvey, Peter (2000): An Introduction to Buddhist Ethics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Herrigel, Eugen (1981): Zen and the Art of Archery. Translated by R.C.F. Hull. New York: Random House.
- Hyams, Joe (1982): Zen in the Martial Arts. New York: Bantam Books.
- Irwin, Terence (1989): Classical Thought (A History of Western Philosophy: 1). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Kasulis, Thomas P. (1981): Zen Action Zen Person. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press.
- Keown, Damien (2003): Dictionary of Buddhism. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- King, Winston (1993): Zen and the Way of the Sword. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Koller, John M. (2002): Asian Philosophies. Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall, 4th edition.
- Layton, Clive (1988): The Personality of Black-Belt and Non-Black-Belt Traditional Karateka. In: Perceptual and Motor Skills, Volume 67, Issue 1, p. 218.
- Layton, Clive (1990): Anxiety in Black-Belt and Non-Black-Belt Traditional Karateka. In: Perceptual and Motor Skills, Volume 71, Issue 3, pp. 905 – 906.
- Leaman, Oliver (1999): Key Concepts in Eastern Philosophy. London: Routledge.
- Mitchell, Donald (2002): Buddhism: Introducing the Buddhist Experience. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Mortensen, Chris (2010): Budo for Buddhists. In: Priest, Graham & Young, Damon (Editors): Martial Arts and Philosophy: Beating and Nothingness. Chicago: Open Court, pp. [147]157 – 169.
- Nosanchuck, T.A. (1981): The Way of the Warrior: The Effects of Traditional Martial Arts Training on Aggressiveness. In: Human Relations, Volume 34, Number 6, pp. 435 – 444.
- Nosanchuck, T.A. & MacNeil, M.L. Catherine (1989): Examination of the Effects of Traditional and Modern Martial Arts Training on Aggressiveness. In: Aggressive Behavior, Volume 15, Issue 2, pp. 153 – 159.

Priest, Graham (2010): An Interview with Bodhidharma.

In: Priest, Graham & Young, Damon (Editors): *Martial Arts and Philosophy: Beating and Nothingness*. Chicago: Open Court, pp. 15 – 24.

Rothpearl, Allen (1980): Personality Traits in Martial Artists: a Descriptive Approach.

In: *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, Volume 50, Issue 2, pp. 391- - 401.

Siderits, Mark (2007): *Buddhism as Philosophy*. Farnham: Ashgate.

Welter, Albert (2000): Mahkyapas Smile: Silent Transmission and the Kung-an (Kan) Tradition.

In: Heine, Steven & Wright, Dale S. (Editors): *The Kōan: Texts and Contexts in Zen Buddhism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 75 - 109.

Young, Damon (2009): Bowing to Your Enemies: Courtesy, Budō and Japan. In: *Philosophy East and West*, Volume 59, Number 2, pp. 188 – 215.

Young, Damon (2010): Pleased to Beat You. In: Priest, Graham & Young, Damon (Editors): *Martial Arts and Philosophy: Beating and Nothingness*. Chicago: Open Court, pp. 3 – 14.